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**STANFORD UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF MEDICINE STUDENT CLERKSHIP EVALUATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STUDENT NAME</th>
<th>PERIOD</th>
<th>COURSE NUMBER</th>
<th>DEPARTMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Please indicate the number of hours or days you spent in contact with this student prior to undertaking this evaluation:

### 1. HISTORY-TAKING AND PHYSICAL EXAMINATION

How often did you directly observe the student do a history and physical? **Check One** ☐ 0 ☐ 1-2 ☐ 3-5 ☐ >6

- **Below Expectations**
  - Often disorganized, misses key information, inaccurate, not well focused. Problems not well characterized.

- **Meets Expectations**
  - Usually thorough, reasonably organized, usually accurate. Addresses pertinent positives, negatives and psychosocial issues in a logical manner. Detects most findings.

- **Exceeds Expectations**
  - Consistently comprehensive, accurate, well organized. Addresses issues in a logical and insightful manner. Elicits subtle findings.

### 2. CLINICAL DECISION-MAKING

Clinical Reasoning, Oral Case Presentations, Written Notes, Clinical Work

- **Below Expectations**
  - Disorganized approach to clinical data; unable to prioritize problems; generates inadequate differential diagnoses. Presentations often unclear, incomplete, disorganized or inaccurate, lack synthesis and rationales. Written notes often disorganized, incomplete, superficial, tangential or erroneous; limited formulations; often late. Poor follow-up of clinical problems, little initiative in problem solving.

- **Meets Expectations**
  - Usually able to organize and prioritize patient information; generates a well-reasoned differential diagnosis. Presentations usually clear, complete, organized with adequate synthesis and rationale. Written notes usually clear, concise, organized, timely with rationale. Adequate case formulations and discussions. Appropriate follow-up of clinical problems; shows initiative in solving problems.

- **Exceeds Expectations**
  - Consistently synthesizes and prioritizes patient information. Generates an accurate and comprehensive differential diagnosis. Presentations consistently clear, accurate, well organized and concise with thoughtful rationales and pertinent synthesized. Written notes consistently clear, complete, well organized, with concise formulations. Well-researched discussions using additional resources.

### 3. FUND OF KNOWLEDGE

Demonstrate knowledge of core topics & resourcefulness in using current technologies to find information

Please indicate the basis on which you arrived at your evaluation: **CHECK ALL THAT APPLY**

- Workshop
- Clinic
- Conferences
- Presentations
- Rounds
- Other

If you feel insufficiently able to judge, please check here: ☐

- **Below Expectations**
  - Limited and fragmented understanding of pathophysiology, diagnosis and management.

- **Meets Expectations**
  - Usually demonstrates general understanding of pathophysiology, diagnosis and management. Usually integrates knowledge from a variety of sources; regularly uses medicine searches, evidence-based medicine and current technologies to answer patient driven questions.

- **Exceeds Expectations**
  - Consistently demonstrates wide-ranging understanding of pathophysiology, diagnosis, and management, and integrates knowledge from a variety of sources.

### 4. COMPASSION/HUMANISM

Demonstrate empathy and respect for patients and families

How many situations did you observe this student interacting with a patient and family? **Check One** ☐ 0 ☐ 1-2 ☐ 3-5 ☐ >6

- **Below Expectations**
  - Inadequate level of respect, compassion, and empathy; fails to instill trust, frequently displays insensitivity and intolerance of patient's need for comfort and encouragement; fails to recognize and respect cross-cultural/gender differences.

- **Meets Expectations**
  - Usually caring, supportive and respectful; often establishes rapport and trust; usually displays sensitivity and tolerance of patient's needs; usually recognizes and respects cross-cultural/gender issues.

- **Exceeds Expectations**
  - Consistently caring, supportive, respectful and empathetic; establishes strong rapport and trust; demonstrates altruism; always respectful of cross-cultural/gender issues.
5. PROFESSIONALISM — collegiality, initiative, dependability, attitudes

<p>| | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below Expectations</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>MEETS EXPECTATIONS</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Exceeds Expectations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Frequently irresponsible, unreliable, and late; shows disdain for professional colleagues; records frequently tardy and illegible. Uninterested, lacks motivation; unaware of weaknesses, strengths, unable to problem-solve; shows little improvement over the clerkship; insufficiently motivated to acquire knowledge. Unavailable when needed, reacts badly to stress, unwilling to work as part of a team.**

**Usually responsible and reliable and committed to team of health care professionals; records usually clear and timely; usually motivated; usually reliable and able to be counted on to follow through on tasks, responsibilities; usually available when needed; usually able to handle stress and willing to work as part of a team.**

**Always responsible, reliable, committed, cooperative and respectful. Shows regard for professional colleagues; displays initiative and provides leadership; records always timely and legible. Enthusiastic, functions independently; self-motivated. Always does what is expected and more; available when needed; always follows through on responsibilities; excellent team player; reacts well to stress.**

**FORMATIVE COMMENTS (including descriptive examples):**

**SUMMATIVE COMMENTS (including descriptive examples):**

List names of individual evaluators whose input is included in this evaluation: